UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,007	05/02/2007	Mitsuharu Miwa	46884-5479	7737
	7590 04/16/200 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100			BRUTUS, JOEL F	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			_	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/580,007	MIWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOEL F. BRUTUS	3768			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 May 2007 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)⊟ objected to b				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/19/2008, 10/30/2007, 5/2/2007, 5/19/20	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 006. 6) Other:	ite			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 11,629,471. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because.

Regarding claim 1, co-pending claim 1 teaches all other limitations.

The co-pending claim doesn't teach adjusting means.

However, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the examined claim by adding an adjusting means; for the purpose of having the capability of modify the image contrast, size etc... to a desired parameter.

Regarding claims 1-5, they are rejected because they depend on a rejected claim.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Application/Control Number: 10/580,007

Art Unit: 3768

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi (Pub. No.: US 2002/0013531).

Regarding claims 1-6, Hayashi teaches a method and system by which sentinel lymph node is detected using a fluorescent colorant that is injected near the tumor and project a stimulating light onto the area being examined [see abstract] is pertinent to the claimed invention. Hayashi further teaches a sentinel lymph node detection system and according to the invention a near infrared fluorescent colorant indocyanine green is locally injected in advance into the vicinity of a tumor [see 0059]; and the fluorescent light emitted from the area of tissue under examination upon irradiation thereof by stimulating light is observed by use of a goggle type observation unit and detection of the sentinel lymph node is performed [see 0059].

Hayashi teaches the system comprises a fluorescent lamp, a stimulating light projecting unit which provided with a light source for producing fluorescent image observation using near infrared stimulating light; [see 0060]; the lamp emits white light as illuminating light composed of visible light [see 0061]; the stimulating light is provide with a semiconductor laser for producing stimulating light having a wavelength of 790 nm and a light source driver [see 0062]. The observation unit comprises an objective lens, a near infrared cutoff filter, a half mirror, an eyepiece [see 0063] (eyepieces are made to be mounted on a head portion of an observer). Hayashi teaches a near infrared cutoff filter light having a wavelength of 700 nm or larger and another near

Application/Control Number: 10/580,007 Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

infrared cutoff filter cuts off light having a wavelength of 820 nm or smaller [see 0064]; a photographing unit (image pick up means) [see 0080-84]; A/D and D/A conversion circuits [see 0085], RGB decoder [see 0095-96], signal output from near infrared use a CCD is subjected to blanking, amplifying, double sampling clamping etc... are use as to adjust a color of and a contrast (emphasis added). A monitor for displaying images [see 0100].

Regarding claim 6, Hayashi also teaches observation means consisting of a variety of optical means, a normal image optical path, and optical system for focusing [see 0078]. The sentinel lymph node is implemented in laparoscope comprises an insertion portion, an illumination unit, a photographing unit [see 0080-84]; the insertion portion comprises a light guide [see 0082].

Regarding claim 3, Hayashi teaches fluorescent image forming unit for performing the image processing to display near infrared image as a stepped image corresponding to the degree of strength of the light [see 0080].

Regarding claim 5, Hayashi teaches a fluorescent image memory for saving the digitized color image signal, a D/A conversion circuit, A/D conversion circuit [see 0085, 0094-996].

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOEL F. BRUTUS whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/580,007 Page 6

Art Unit: 3768

(571)270-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM (Off alternative Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. F. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3768

/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768